# *THE JOURNAL OF TURKISH FAMILY PHYSICIAN*
# *REVIEWER FORM*

| | |
|---|---|
| **Manuscript code and title** | |
| **Reviewer** | |
| **Evaluation date** | |
| **Study design:**<br>Original research<br>Case presentation/discussion<br>Review<br>Systematic review<br>Metaanalysis<br>Letter to the editor<br>Short report<br>Others | |

**Is this work in your field of interest?**

- Yes
- No

**If the topic is not in your interest area, please kindly suggest another reviewer who can evaluate the manuscript. You can note the name and communication info in the following:**

…….

…….

**Do you think that you have a conflict of interest that may prevent you from objectively evaluating the study?**

**If yes please explain and do not continue the reviewing process.**

- Yes
- No

***Examine the article according to the following criteria and tick the appropriate option.***
***(if your answer is no or insufficient, state the reason).***

In the evaluation of case reports and non systematic reviews the methods and findings section will not be included in the evaluation.

| **Assessment areas:** |
|---|
| **TITLE** |
| **Is the title of the article compatible with its content? Does it give information about the research type - method?** |
| Yes |
| No |
| Inadequate |
| |
| **SUMMARIES** |
| **Does the Turkish abstract include the research question, purpose, method, findings and results of the study?** |
| Yes |
| No |
| Inadequate |
| |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | |
| **Does the English abstract include the research question - purpose, methodology, findings and conclusions of the study?** | | |
| Yes | | |
| No | | |
| Inadequate | | |
| Non applicable for other than the research articles. | | |
| **Is the English abstract compatible with the Turkish abstract?** | | |
| Yes | | |
| No | | |
| Inadequate | | |
| | | |
| **Have the keywords been selected appropriately? (MeSH, Turkish scientific terms)** | | |
| Yes | | |
| No | | |
| | | |
| **INTRODUCTION AND AIM** | | |
| Is the problem defined in the introduction, the rationale for the study explained and adequately supported with appropriate references? | | |
| Yes | | |
| No | | |
| Inadequate | | |
| | | |
| **Are the research question and purpose of the study clearly and understandably stated?** | | |
| Yes | | |
| No | | |
| Inadequate | | |
| | | |
| **Is the hypothesis appropriate for the purpose of the study established?** | | |
| Yes | | |
| No | | |
| Inadequate | | |
| | | |
| **METHOD** | | |
| **Is the method of the research explained in detail?** | | |
| Sample size calculation | Yes | No |
| Ethic approval and other permission/s | | |
| Data collection | | |
| Data collection tools | | |
| Statistical analysis, methods | | |
| | | |
| **Is the method compatible with the stated objective?** | | |
| Yes | | |
| No | | |

| |
|---|
| Inadequate |
| |
| **Are the statistical analysis methods used appropriate for the study?** |
| Yes |
| No |
| Inadequate |
| |
| **RESULTS** |
| **Are the findings of the study clearly presented?** |
| Yes |
| No |
| Inadequate |
| |
| **Are tables, graphs and pictures appropriate in terms of necessity, comprehensibility and accuracy?** |
| Yes |
| No |
| Inadequate |
| |
| **DISCUSSION** |
| **Has the author(s) compared their findings with the source data in terms of similarities and differences?** |
| Yes |
| No |
| Inadequate |
| |
| **Are limitations or suggestions for further work given?** |
| Yes |
| No |
| Inadequate |
| |
| **Are the sources relevant and compatible with the subject?** |
| Yes |
| No |
| Inadequate |
| |
| **Have current sources been used?** |
| Yes |
| No |
| Inadequate |
| |
| **Does the bibliography arrangement comply with the journal rules?** |

| |
|---|
| Yes |
| No |
| Inadequate |
| |
| **Is the narration appropriate in terms of comprehensibility, fluency and academic language?** |
| Yes |
| No |
| Inadequate |
| |
| **Does it comply with grammar and the Journal's spelling rules?** |
| Yes |
| No |
| Inadequate |
| |
| **Is it an original study that contributes to science/family medicine?**<br>**Does the content of the article fit within the journal's scope?Please check according to the CRISP criteria: https://crisp-pc.org** |
| Yes |
| No |
| |
| **Is the article written in accordance with scientific ethics?** |
| Yes |
| No |
| |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Priority for publication** | **High** | **Medium** | **Low** |
| | | | |

In the light of the above criteria, please tick the relevant option indicating your opinion about the article.

- It can be published as it is.
- It can be published after the corrections I have suggested.
- I do not need to see the corrected version again.
- I would like to see the corrected version again.
- I would like to re-evaluate after seeing the corrections I have suggested.
- It is not suitable for publication.

**Comments and Suggestions:**

*In this section, please list your constructive comments and suggestions about the article in a concrete and detailed manner to be forwarded to the author. For the items you have marked "No" or "Insufficient" above, making additional explanations - by specifying the number - will facilitate correction. You can use additional pages for your comments if necessary.*